Janesville, Wisconsin

REPORT ON FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS

For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial	
Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	1 – 2
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal and Major State Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards	
Required by the Uniform Guidance and the State Single Audit Guidelines	3 – 5
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards	6 – 8
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards	9
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	10 – 12
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	13 – 17



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the City Council City of Janesville Janesville, Wisconsin

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the the City of Janesville, Wisconsin as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the the City of Janesville's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 21, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City of Janesville's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Janesville's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Janesville's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did identify a certain deficiency in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2016-001, that we consider to be a material weakness.



To the City Council City of Janesville

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of Janesville's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

The City of Janesville's Response to Finding

Baker Tilly Virchaw Krause, LP

The City of Janesville's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City of Janesville's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Madison, Wisconsin June 21, 2017



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL AND MAJOR STATE PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE AND THE STATE SINGLE AUDIT GUIDELINES

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the City Council City of Janesville Janesville, Wisconsin

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal and Major State Program

We have audited the City of Janesville's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* and the *State Single Audit Guidelines* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City of Janesville's major federal and major state programs for the year ended December 31, 2016. The City of Janesville's major federal and major state programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and guestioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal and state statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal and state awards applicable to its federal and state programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City of Janesville's major federal and major state programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance), and the *State Single Audit Guidelines*. Those standards, the Uniform Guidance, and the *State Single Audit Guidelines* require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal or a major state program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City of Janesville's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal and major state program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City of Janesville's compliance.



Opinion on Each Major Federal and Major State Program

In our opinion, the City of Janesville complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal and major state programs for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City of Janesville is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City of Janesville's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal or major state program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal or major state program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and the *State Single Audit Guidelines*, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Janesville's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal or state program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal or state program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal or state program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance and the *State Single Audit Guidelines*. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

To the City Council City of Janesville

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance and the State Single Audit Guidelines

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Janesville, Wisconsin as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City of Janesville's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated June 21, 2017, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and the State Single Audit Guidelines and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Madison, Wisconsin September 27, 2017

Baker Tilly Virchaw & rause, 427

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

					Rever	nues			
Grantor Agency/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Entity	Pass-Through Entity Grant ID	(Accrued) Deferred Beginning Balance	Receipts	Accrued (Deferred) Ending Balance	Total Revenues		Payments to Subrecipients
FEDERAL PROGRAMS									
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT CDBG - Entitlement Grants Cluster Community Development Block Grants / Entitlement Grants	14.218								
Community Development Block Grants / Ent. Grants Community Development Block Grants / Ent. Grants - Program income	14.210	Direct Direct	N/A N/A	\$ (119,207) (83)	143,491	· -	\$ 471,366 143,408	143,408	\$ 85,616 -
Neighborhood Stabilization Program (HERA) Neighborhood Stabilization Program (HERA) - Program income		City of Beloit City of Beloit	NSP 09-24 NSP 09-24	(2,544)	15,411 1,000	6,243	19,110 1,000	19,110 1,000	
Total CDBG- Entitlement Grants Cluster				(121,834)	723,617	33,101	634,884	634,884	85,616
Community Development Block Grant/State's Program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii Community Development Block Grant Neighborhood Stabilization Program	14.228	WI DOA City of Beloit	CDBG 16-08 NSP 11-04	- (6,972)	- 6,972	61,875 -	61,875	61,875 -	- -
Total Community Development Block Grant/State's Program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii		•		(6,972)	6,972	61,875	61,875	61,875	
Home Investment Partnership Program Home Investment Partnerships Program/ Rock Co. Consortium Home Investment Partnerships Program - Program Income	14.239	Direct Direct	N/A N/A	(11,275)	178,265 159,111	-	166,990 159,111	166,990 159,111	-
Total Home Investment Partnerships Program				(11,275)	337,376		326,101	326,101	
Housing Voucher Cluster Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871	Direct	N/A		2,752,808		2,752,808	2,752,808	
Total Housing Voucher Cluster					2,752,808		2,752,808	2,752,808	
Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants	14.906	Rock County	WILHB0570-13	(64,791)	266,799	27,219	229,227	229,227	
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				(204,872)	4,087,572	122,195	4,004,895	4,004,895	85,616
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE									
Bulletproof Vest Partnership	16.607	Direct	N/A	(9,442)	14,120	793	5,471	5,471	-
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program ARRA - Recovery Act - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance	16.738	Rock County	2015-DJ-BX-1030	-	-	14,800	14,800	14,800	-
Grant (JAG) Program / Grants to States and Territories	16.803	Rock County	2009-SB-B9-0870	12		(12)			
Total U.S. Department of Justice				(9,430)	14,120	15,581	20,271	20,271	

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

			Revenues						
Grantor Agency/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Entity	Pass-Through Entity Grant ID	(Accrued) Deferred Beginning Balance	Receipts	Accrued (Deferred) Ending Balance	Total Revenues	Expenditures	Payments to Subrecipients
FEDERAL PROGRAMS (cont.)									
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Highway Planning and Construction Cluster Highway Planning and Construction	20,205	WI DOT	371-10-004 & 371-14-012	\$ (50,256)	\$ 174,081	\$ 40,515	\$ 164,340	\$ 164,340	\$ -
Total Highway Planning and Construction Cluster	20.200	W. 501	3/1-10-004 & 3/1-14-012	(50,256)	174,081	40,515	164,340	164,340	<u> </u>
Federal Transit Cluster Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507			(50,250)		40,010	104,040	104,040	
Federal Transit Formula Grants (operating 2015) WI-90-4751		Direct	N/A N/A	(365,993)	365,993	-	-	-	-
Federal Transit Formula Grants (operating 2016) WI-90-4751 Federal Transit State of Good Repair		Direct WI DOT	N/A WI-04-0020-01	(32,499)	1,092,132 36,087	1,273	1,092,132 4,861	1,092,132 4.861	_
Total Federal Transit Cluster		WIDOI	W1 04 0020 01	(398,492)	1,494,212	1,273	1,096,993	1,096,993	
Highway Safety Cluster				(000, 102)	1,101,212	1,270	1,000,000	1,000,000	
• , ,			FG-2016-janesvil-03091 FG-2016-janesvil-03412						
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	WI DOT	FG-2016-janesvil-03528		63,669	13,526	77,195	77,195	
Total Highway Safety Cluster			,		63,669	13,526	77,195	77,195	
Total U.S. Department of Transportation				(448,748)	1,731,962	55,314	1,338,528	1,338,528	
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY									
Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup	66.818	Direct	N/A	(71,571)	71,571	-	-	-	-
Revolving Loan Fund		Direct	N/A	(16,408)	19,194		2,786	2,786	
Total Environmental Protection Agency				(87,979)	71,571		2,786	2,786	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES									
State Grants for Protection and Advocacy Services	93.276	Direct	N/A	(25,481)	240,247		214,766	214,766	
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services				(25,481)	240,247		214,766	214,766	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY									
Assistance to Firefighters Grants	97.044	Direct	N/A	(238,890)	241,226		2,336	2,336	
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security				(238,890)	241,226		2,336	2,336	
TOTAL FEDERAL PROGRAMS				\$ (1,015,400)	\$6,386,698	\$ 193,090	\$5,583,582	\$ 5,583,582	\$ 85,616

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARDS For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

					Reve	enues			
Grantor Agency/ Program Title	State ID Number	Grant ID Number	(Accrued) Deferred Beginning Balance	Re	eceipts	Accrued (Deferred) Ending Balance	Total Revenues	Expenditures	Payments to Subrecipients
STATE PROGRAMS									
WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES									
Recycling Grants to Responsible Units Recycling Consolidation Grant	370.670 370.673	RU 53241 RU 53241	\$ -	\$	198,746 16,633	\$ -	\$ 198,746 16,633	\$ 198,746 16,633	\$ -
Total Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources					215,379		215,379	215,379	
WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION									
Transit Operating Aids	005.404	0040	(00.500)			00.500			
2013 Operating Aids 2014 Operating Aids	395.104 395.104	2013 2014	(38,598) (88,460)		- 88,460	38,598		_	
2015 Operating Aids	395.104	2015	(86,680)		-	86.680	_	-	-
2016 Operating Aids	395.104	2016	-		763,258	84,806	848,064	848,064	-
Paratransit Aids Through 85.205	395.104	2015			33,109		33,109	33,109	
Total Transit Operating Aids			(213,738)		884,827	210,084	881,173	881,173	
Transportation Economic Assistance (TEA) Grant									
Transportation Economic Assistance (TEA) Grant - Road	395.510	5966-00-70	-		-	829,986	829,986	829,986	-
Total Wisconsin Department of Transportation			(213,738)		884,827	1,040,070	1,711,159	1,711,159	
WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES									
Emergency Medical Service Cost Reimbursement	435.162	N/A	-		11,242	-	11,242	11,242	-
Total Wisconsin Department of Health Services		7			11,242		11,242	11,242	
TOTAL STATE PROGRAMS			\$ (213,738)	\$	1,111,448	\$ 1,040,070	\$ 1,937,780	\$ 1,937,780	\$ -

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards (the "schedule") includes the federal and state award activity of the City of Janesville under programs of the federal and state government for the year ended December 31, 2016. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance) and the State Single Audit Guidelines. Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City of Janesville, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position or cash flows of the City of Janesville.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the schedule are reported on the accrual or modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Negative amounts shown on the schedule represent adjustments or credits made in the normal course of business to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years. Pass-through entity identifying numbers are presented where available.

The underlying accounting records for some grant programs are maintained on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred. The accounting records for other grant programs are maintained on the accrual basis, i.e., when the revenue has been earned and the liability is incurred.

NOTE 3 - PASS-THROUGH GRANTORS

Federal funds have been passed through the following grantors:

WI DOA – Wisconsin Department of Administration WI DOT – Wisconsin Department of Transportation

City of Beloit - City of Beloit, Wisconsin

Rock County - Rock County, Wisconsin

NOTE 4 - INDIRECT COST RATE

The City of Janesville has not elected to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate of the Uniform Guidance.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS	
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Type of report the auditor issued on whether the finan statements audited were prepared in accordance with	
Internal control over financial reporting:	
> Material weakness(es) identified?	x no
> Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	yes X none reported
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	yes <u>X</u> no
FEDERAL OR STATE AWARDS	
Internal control over major programs:	
> Material weakness(es) identified?	yes <u>X</u> no
> Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	yes X none reported
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major	or programs: Unmodified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 2 CFR 200.516(a) of the Uniform Guidance or the State Single Audit Guidelines?	yes X no
onigie Addit Guidennes:	<u> </u>
	Federal Programs State Programs
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	yes X no yes X no
Identification of major federal programs:	
CFDA Numbers	Name of Federal Program or Cluster
14.871 20.507	Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers Federal Transit Formula Grants

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

FEDERAL OR STATE AWARDS (cont.)			
	-	Federal	State
Pollar threshold used to distinguish between nd type B programs:	en type A	\$ 750,000	\$ 250,000
dentification of major state program:			
State ID Number		Name of State Prog	ram
395.104		t Operating Aids	
395.510	Transportation Economic Assistance		

WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

FINDING 2016-001: MATERIAL WEAKNESS - INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Repeat of Finding 2015-001

Criteria: Auditing Standards AU-C 265 requires auditors to communicate circumstances that were evaluated to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the city's structure of internal control.

Condition: During the financial audit, audit procedures and inquiries were performed to evaluate the effectiveness of controls over various transaction cycles. As a result of these procedures, we noted there was no independent second party review of year-end financial statements and year-end conversion journal entries. In addition, we identified adjustments required to be made to the financial statements prepared by the city.

Cause: Due to staffing and financial limitations, the city is not able to perform an independent review of the year-end financial statements and conversion entries.

Effect: Year-end financial statements prepared by the city contained material misstatements.

Recommendation: We recommend that year-end financial statements and year-end conversion journal entries be reviewed by a second individual to ensure appropriateness and accuracy.

Management Response: The city prepares high quality financial statements, conversion entries, and footnote disclosures for the auditors to review. However, because of the city's size, management does not believe it is cost effective to hire the additional staff needed with the expertise to review all aspects of financial reporting, and relies on the auditor for some year-end financial statement and conversion entry review. Therefore, we anticipate that the material weakness in financial reporting review will continue to be reported in future years.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

SECTION	ON IV – OTHER ISSUES	
1.	Does the auditor's report or the notes to the financial statements include disclosure with regard to substantial doubt as to the auditee's ability to continue as a going concern?	yes <u>X</u> no
2.	Does the audit report show audit issues (i.e., material non-compliance, non-material non-compliance, questioned costs, material weakness, significant deficiency, management letter comment, excess revenue or excess reserve) related to grants/contracts with funding agencies that require audits to be in accordance with the State Single Audit Guidelines: Department of Transportation Department of Health Services Department of Natural Resources	yesX _ no yesX _ no yesX _ no
3.	Was a Management Letter or other document conveying audit comments issued as a result of this audit?	
4.	Name and signature of partner	Amanda Blomberg, CPA, Firm Director

September 27, 2017

5. Date of report

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

FINDING 2015-001: MATERIAL WEAKNESS - INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

REPEAT OF FINDING 2014-001

Criteria: Auditing Standards AU-C 265 requires auditors to communicate circumstances that were evaluated to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the city's structure of internal control.

Condition: During the financial audit, audit procedures and inquiries were performed to evaluate the effectiveness of controls over various transaction cycles. As a result of these procedures, we noted there was no independent second party review of year-end financial statements and year-end conversion journal entries. In addition, we identified adjustments required to be made to the financial statements prepared by the city.

Cause: Due to staffing and financial limitations, the city is not able to perform an independent review of the year-end financial statements and conversion entries.

Effect: Year-end financial statements prepared by the city contained material misstatements.

Recommendation: We recommend that year-end financial statements and year-end conversion journal entries be reviewed by a second individual to ensure appropriateness and accuracy.

Management Status: The city prepares high quality financial statements, conversion entries, and footnote disclosures for the auditors to review. However, because of the city's size, management does not believe it is cost effective to hire the additional staff needed with the expertise to review all aspects of financial reporting, and relies on the auditor for some year-end financial statement and conversion entry review. Therefore, we anticipate that the material weakness in financial reporting review will continue to be reported in future years.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

FINDING 2015-002: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY – CONTROLS OVER UTILITY ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE AND REVENUE RECONCILIATION

Criteria: We performed our audit under Auditing Standards AU-C 315, which required us to review the city's internal controls over major accounting processes. As a result, we identified certain controls that we considered to be key controls that were not in place during the year under audit.

Condition: During the financial audit, we noted there were variances in revenues and receivables between the subsidiary MS Govern customer information system (CIS) and the New World accounting system. This led to an extensive IT analysis and recommendations. This difference was investigated by management and it was discovered that this was an ongoing reconciling issue. This resulted in an accounts receivable adjustment in 2015.

Cause: The city's reconciliation process was a highly manual process and prone to errors.

Effect: Weaknesses in internal controls over utility accounts receivable and revenue reconciliation increases the possibility of misstatements due to errors or fraud.

Recommendation: Receivables are a highly liquid asset and they should be strictly controlled. This should include quarterly reconciliations of the control accounts and adjusting the ledger to reflect any differences. Adjustments should be subject to the approval of management. In addition we recommend management establish a process to review the quarterly billings and user fee revenue for reasonableness. This could include comparing revenues to prior periods in light of changes in billing units, such as volume sold on customer counts. It could also include verifying the billing volumes to volumes treated or pumped. This type of analysis will also provide support for expected changes in the receivables balance.

Management Status: Staff had been performing monthly reconciliations and reporting the differences to the Clerk-Treasurer Division. Due to staff turnover and shortage, resolving those differences would get behind and then caught-up twice per year. Staff is now performing a monthly reconciliation of the batches exported from Govern to the batches imported to New World. This was a manual process but has since been automated. In addition, staff is now doing quarterly reconciliations of the general ledger to the A/R sub-system.

We now have a report that was written by the software vendor which provides usage and AR data b customer type and service type. This report is used to provide data to the PSC and DNR and for management analysis.

During this process, we found no irregularities that would suggest fraud, but rather a process using disjointed systems since implementation that had to be improved.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

FINDING 2015-003: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY - CONTROLS OVER RECONCILIATIONS

Criteria: We performed our audit under Auditing Standards AU-C 315, which required us to review the city's internal controls over major accounting processes. As a result, we identified certain controls that we considered to be key controls that were not in place during the year under audit.

Condition: During the financial audit, audit procedures and inquiries were performed to evaluate the effectiveness of controls over various transaction cycles. As a result of these procedures, we noted there were a number of year end account reconciliation issues. Specifically, the general checking account remains unreconciled at year end by \$210,083. The general checking account is a pooled cash account, and therefore, the impact on the unreconciled difference to the various funds cannot be determined.

Cause: Due to staffing and financial limitations, the city was not able to complete all reconciliations in a timely manner.

Effect: Weaknesses in internal controls over reconciliations increases the possibility of misstatements due to errors or fraud.

Recommendation: The unreconciled difference is not material to the city's financial statements; however, we encourage the city to take immediate action to reconcile this account. Further, we recommend that the city reconcile this account as well as the other accounts on a monthly basis.

Management Status: Reconciliations are closely tied to the Utility A/R finding and the tens of thousands of transactions going through the accounts. The function has been transferred to another division to address the workload and improve internal controls. Reconciliations will be performed and reviewed on a monthly basis going forward. During this process, we found no irregularities that would suggest fraud, but rather a complex process accessing multiple systems that needs to be improved.

The city took the conservative approach in 2015 and understated its assets and net position. An increase to revenues was recognized when identified with the final 2015 reconciliation. There are no similar open items for 2016.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

FINDING 2015-004: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY - CONTROLS OVER INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Criteria: We performed our audit under Auditing Standards AU-C 315, which required us to review the city's internal controls over major accounting processes. As a result, we identified certain controls that we considered to be key controls that were not in place during the year under audit.

Condition: During the financial audit, we evaluated information technology controls as they relate to financially significant applications. We noted that there were various shared system accounts with access to certain financial applications. One of these accounts was a vendor support account of which an individual in the Finance department had access. There is a risk that accountability cannot be established within the applications and that unauthorized users may have access to the financial application. In addition, the city does not have a formal change management procedure in place to ensure appropriate authorization, testing, and tracking of program changes, system changes, and maintenance.

Cause: The security issue was due to a Finance member backing-up an IT member.

Effect: Weaknesses in internal controls over information technology increases the possibility of misstatements due to errors or fraud.

Recommendation: We recommend that the City of Janesville perform a review of accounts with access to these applications and ensure that all users have a unique ID. Any generic, shared, temporary, and system accounts should be removed or disabled. In addition, a formal change management procedure should be in place for all program changes, system changes, and maintenance. Additionally changes should be authorized and tested before putting them into production and all changes should be formally tracked.

Management Status: The Finance member was part of the implementation team and access was used to help maintain other user permissions and during troubleshooting when program support responded to city help desk requests. Staff was aware of the issue and compensating measures were taken to monitor the activity. The Finance member made the supervisor aware of any activity and inserted documentation into the application to support the activity. The Finance member's access to the shared vendor support account was removed in 2016 and only IT staff now has such access.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

FINDING 2015-005: 14.218 – COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS/ENTITLEMENT GRANTS FEDERAL AWARD NUMBER: B14MC550014 AND B15MC550014 FEDERAL GRANTOR: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

REPEAT OF FINDING 2014-003

Criteria: According to the terms and conditions of the awards, grantees must submit the quarterly SF425 financial reports by the 30th day following the end of each calendar quarter, and a final report is due 90 days following the end of the award period.

Condition/Context: During our testing of the summary schedule of prior audit findings, we tested the current status of prior year finding 2014-003. In 2014, the finding noted that the city did not submit the quarterly and final SF-425 reports to HUD on a timely basis. In 2015, we noted that the first and second quarter SF-425 reports were not submitted to HUD within the required timeframe. Our sample was not statistically valid.

Cause: In a previous year, the city obtained communications from the grantor indicating that the forms were not required to be submitted by the city, however, there was no known communication reinstating the requirement. Upon further inquiry with the grantor, it was determined that the forms should be submitted by the city on a retroactive basis for 2014 and 2015.

Effect: The grantor did not receive the financial information intended to be obtained through this reporting method on a timely basis.

Questioned Costs: None noted.

Recommendation: We recommend that the city continue to follow the newly implement procedure to complete, review, and submit the SF-425 reports to the grantor on a timely basis.

Management Status: The city retroactively submitted the final SF-425 for 2014, retroactively submitted the SF-425 reports for the first half of 2015, submitted the SF-425 reports on time for the second half of 2015, and implemented a procedure to complete, review and submit the SF-425 reports to the grantor on a timely basis going forward. All 2016 reports were filed on time.