## **CITY OF JANESVILLE**

Janesville, Wisconsin

COMMUNICATION TO THOSE CHARGED WITH GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT

As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 2015

## **CITY OF JANESVILLE**

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
Required Communication of Internal Control Related Matters Identified in the Audit to Those Charged with Governance	1
Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting	2
Controls Over Utility Accounts Receivable and Revenue Reconciliation	2
Controls Over Reconciliations	3
Controls Over Information Technology	3 – 4
Required Communications by the Auditor to Those Charged with Governance	5 – 9
Management Representations	

,

REQUIRED COMMUNICATION OF INTERNAL CONTROL RELATED MATTERS IDENTIFIED IN THE AUDIT TO THOSE CHARGED WITH GOVERNANCE



Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP Ten Terrace Ct, PO Box 7398 Madison, WI 53707-7398 tel 608 249 6622 fax 608 249 8532 bakertilly.com

To the City Council City of Janesville Janesville, Wisconsin

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the City of Janesville as of and for the year ended December 31, 2015, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered its internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing our auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of its internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of its internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as discussed below, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control that we consider to be a material weakness and other deficiencies that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency or combination of deficiencies in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the following deficiency in the city's internal control to be a material weakness:

> Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the following deficiencies in the city's internal control to be significant deficiencies:

> Reconciliation Matters and Information Technology

The City of Janesville's written response to the material weakness and significant deficiencies identified in our audit has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of the city council, management, and others within the organization and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than the specified parties.

Baker Tilly Virchm Krause, CCP

Madison, Wisconsin June 23, 2016



#### INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING - MATERIAL WEAKNESS

Auditing standards require that we perform procedures to obtain an understanding of your government and its internal control environment as part of the annual audit. This includes an analysis of significant transaction cycles. A properly designed system of internal control includes adequate staffing, policies, and procedures to properly segregate duties. This includes systems that are designed to limit the access or control of any one individual to your government's assets, and to achieve a higher likelihood that errors or irregularities in your processes would be discovered by your staff.

At this time, the city does not have internal controls in place to achieve adequate segregation of duties relative to the financial reporting process. Specifically, year-end audited financial statements and year-end conversion journal entries that are prepared by city personnel were not reviewed by someone other than the preparer. In addition, we identified adjustments required to be made to the financial statements prepared by the city. As a result, there is a material weakness related to the city's internal control environment regarding year-end financial reporting.

#### Management's Response

The City prepares high quality financial statements, conversion entries, and footnote disclosures for the auditors to review. However, because of the City's size, management does not believe it is cost effective to hire the additional staff needed with the expertise to review all aspects of financial reporting and relies on the auditors for this review. Therefore, we anticipate that the material weakness in the internal control environment related to financial reporting review will likely continue to be reported in future years.

# CONTROLS OVER UTILITY ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE AND REVENUE RECONCILIATION – SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY

During the 2015 audit, we noted there were variances in revenues and receivables between the subsidiary MS Govern customer information system (CIS) and the New World accounting system. This led to an extensive IT analysis and recommendations, which are included in this letter. This difference was investigated by management and it was discovered that this was an ongoing reconciling issue. This resulted in an accounts receivable adjustment in 2015.

Receivables are a highly liquid asset and they should be strictly controlled. This should include quarterly reconciliations of the control accounts and adjusting the ledger to reflect any differences. Adjustments should be subject to the approval of management. In addition we recommend management establish a process to review the quarterly billings and user fee revenue for reasonableness. This could include comparing revenues to prior periods in light of changes in billing units, such as volume sold on customer counts. It could also include verifying the billing volumes to volumes treated or pumped. This type of analysis will also provide support for expected changes in the receivables balance.

#### Management's Response

Staff had been performing monthly reconciliations and reporting the differences to the Clerk-Treasurer Division. Due to staff turnover and shortage, resolving those differences would get behind and then caught-up twice per year. Staff is now performing a monthly reconciliation of the batches exported from Govern to the batches imported to New World. This was a manual process but has since been automated. In addition, staff is now doing quarterly reconciliations of the general ledger to the A/R sub-system.

We are currently unable to fully get volume information from the billing system. The City has contracted with the system vendor to have reports written so that we can do the analysis going forward.

During this process, we found no irregularities that would suggest fraud, but rather a process using disjointed systems since implementation that had to be improved.

#### **CONTROLS OVER RECONCILIATIONS – SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY**

In 2015, there were a number of year end account reconciliation issues. Specifically, the general checking account remains unreconciled at year end by \$210,083. The general checking account is a pooled cash account, and therefore, the impact on the unreconciled difference to the various funds cannot be determined. The unreconciled difference is not material to the city's financial statements; however, we encourage the city to take immediate action to reconcile this account. Further, we recommend that the city reconcile this account as well as the other accounts on a monthly basis.

#### Management's Response

Reconciliations are closely tied to the Utility A/R finding and the tens of thousands of transactions going through the accounts. The reconciliation issue was due to staff turnover and shortage. The function has been transferred to another division to address the workload and improve internal controls. Reconciliations will be performed and reviewed on a monthly basis going forward. During this process, we found no irregularities that would suggest fraud, but rather a complex process accessing multiple systems that needs to be improved.

As noted in the auditor letter, the City took the conservative approach and understated its assets and net position. Either an increase to revenues or a decrease to expenses will be recognized when identified.

#### CONTROLS OVER INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY - SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY

As part of our 2015 audit, we evaluated information technology controls as they relate to financially significant applications. Our procedures primarily focused on documenting and evaluating general computer controls, including:

- > Logical access to data and applications
- > Change and incident management
- > System development and deployment
- > Data backup and recovery

From our review, we have identified the following areas where we recommend controls be reviewed and potentially strengthened.

#### Logical and Physical Access Security

During our audit we noted that there were various shared system accounts with access to the New World and MS Govern/iNovah application. One of these accounts was a vendor support account for New World of which an individual in the Finance department had access. There is a risk that accountability cannot be established within New World and MS Govern/iNovah and that unauthorized users may have access to the financial application. The City of Janesville should perform a review of accounts with access to these applications and ensure that all users have a unique ID. Any generic, shared, temporary, and system accounts should be removed or disabled.

In addition, a formal change management procedure should be in place for all program changes, system changes, and maintenance. Additionally changes should be authorized and tested before putting them into production and all changes should be formally tracked.

#### CONTROLS OVER INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY - SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY (cont.)

#### Management's Response

The security issue was due to a Finance member backing-up an IT member. The Finance member was part of the implementation team and access was used to help maintain other user permissions and during troubleshooting when New World responded to City help desk requests. Staff was aware of the issue and compensating measures were taken to monitor the activity. The Finance member made the supervisor aware of any activity and inserted documentation into New World to support the activity.

REQUIRED COMMUNICATIONS BY THE AUDITOR TO THOSE CHARGED WITH GOVERNANCE



Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP Ten Terrace Ct, PO Box 7398 Madison, WI 53707-7398 tel 608 249 6622 fax 608 249 8532 bakertilly.com

To the City Council City of Janesville Janesville, Wisconsin

Thank you for using Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP as your auditor.

We have completed our audit of the financial statements of the City of Janesville for the year ended December 31, 2015 and have issued our report thereon dated June 23, 2016. This letter presents communications required by our professional standards.

#### OUR RESPONSIBILITY UNDER AUDITING STANDARDS GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS, THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE AND THE STATE SINGLE AUDIT GUIDELINES

The objective of a financial statement audit is the expression of an opinion on the financial statements. We conducted the audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, *Government Auditing Standards*, OMB's *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance), and the *State Single Audit Guidelines*. These standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance about whether the financial statements prepared by management with your oversight are free of material misstatement, whether caused by error or fraud. Our audit included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit does not relieve management or the city council of their responsibilities.

We considered the City of Janesville's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Janesville's internal control over financial reporting. We will consider internal control over compliance with types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal and major state program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for a major federal and state program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and the *State Single Audit Guidelines*, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of Janesville's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions is not an objective of our audit. Also, in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and the *State Single Audit Guidelines*, we will examine, on a test basis, evidence about the City of Janesville's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* and the *State Single Audit Guidelines* that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal and state programs for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the City of Janesville's compliance with those requirements. While our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion, it does not provide a legal determination on the City of Janesville's compliance with those requirements.

We will issue a separate document which contains the results of our audit procedures to comply with the Uniform Guidance and the *State Single*, *Audit Guidelines*.



#### OTHER INFORMATION IN DOCUMENTS CONTAINING AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Our responsibility does not extend beyond the audited financial statements identified in this report. We do not have any obligation to and have not performed any procedures to corroborate other information contained in client prepared documents, such as official statements related to debt issues.

#### PLANNED SCOPE AND TIMING OF THE AUDIT

We performed the audit according to the planned scope and timing previously communicated to you in our letter about planning matters dated December 11, 2015 and our meeting with the Audit Committee on the same date.

#### QUALITATIVE ASPECTS OF THE ENTITY'S SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING PRACTICES

#### **Accounting Policies**

Management has the responsibility for selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. In accordance with the terms of our engagement letter, we will advise management about the appropriateness of accounting policies and their application. The significant accounting policies used by the City of Janesville are described in Note I to the financial statements. As described in Note I to the financial statements, the City of Janesville changed accounting policies related to financial reporting for pensions by adopting Statement of Governmental Accounting Standards (GASB) Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – an Amendment of GASB Statement No. 27* and GASB Statement No. 71, *Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date – an Amendment of GASB Statement No. 68* in 2015. Accordingly, net position has been restated as of the beginning of the year. We noted no transactions entered into by the City of Janesville during the year that were both significant and unusual, and of which, under professional standards, we are required to inform you, or transactions for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus.

#### Accounting Estimates

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimates affecting the financial statements were:

Management's estimates of the allowances for doubtful accounts are based on historical revenues, historical loss levels, and an analysis of the collectability of individual accounts.

Management's estimate of the insurance incurred but not reported (IBNR) liabilities is based on historical claims and an analysis of the claims lag.

Management's estimate of the other post employment benefits (OPEB) liability is based on an actuarial review of the city's health benefits plan and employee base.

Management's estimate of the net pension asset and related deferred outflows of resources is based on actuarial information obtained from the Wisconsin Retirement System.

We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop these estimates in determining that they are reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

#### QUALITATIVE ASPECTS OF THE ENTITY'S SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING PRACTICES (cont.)

#### **Financial Statement Disclosures**

The disclosures in the notes to the financial statements are neutral, consistent, and clear.

#### **DIFFICULTIES ENCOUNTERED IN PERFORMING THE AUDIT**

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing our audit.

#### **CORRECTED AND UNCORRECTED MISSTATEMENTS**

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatement identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management.

The city has an unreconciled balance in the general checking account of \$210,083. It is unknown which funds are affected as a result of this unreconciled difference. Further, assets and net position are understated by \$210,083 in the financial statements with an unknown adjustment needed to either increase revenues or decrease expenses.

In addition, the effect of prior year uncorrected misstatements related to debt issuance costs is to overstate expenditures in the general fund by \$31,165 and expenses in the wastewater utility by \$12,370.

Management has determined that the effects of the uncorrected misstatements are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole.

#### DISAGREEMENTS WITH MANAGEMENT

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, concerning a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

#### **CONSULTATIONS WITH OTHER INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS**

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the governmental unit's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditors' opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

#### MANAGEMENT REPRESENTATIONS

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter. This letter follows this required communication.

#### INDEPENDENCE

We are not aware of any relationships between Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP and the City of Janesville that, in our professional judgment, may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence.

Relating to our audit of the financial statements of the City of Janesville for the year ended December 31, 2015, Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP hereby confirms that we are, in our professional judgment, independent with respect to the City of Janesville in accordance with the Code of Professional Conduct issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We provided no services to the City of Janesville other than audit services provided in connection with the audit of the current year's financial statements and proposed adjustments to those financial statements.

#### OTHER AUDIT FINDINGS OR ISSUES

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the City of Janesville's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

#### **OTHER MATTERS**

We applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information (RSI) that supplements the basic financial statements. Our procedures consisted of inquiries of management regarding the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We did not audit the RSI and do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the RSI.

We were engaged to report on the supplementary information which accompanies the financial statements but is not RSI. With respect to the supplementary information accompanying the financial statements, we made certain inquiries of management and evaluated the form, content, and methods of preparing the information to determine that the information complies with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the method of preparing it has not changed from the prior period, and the information is appropriate and complete in relation to our audit of the financial statements. We compared and reconciled the supplementary information to the underlying accounting records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves.

We were not engaged to report on the other information, which accompanies the financial statements but are not RSI. We did not audit or perform other procedures on this other information and we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

#### **RESTRICTION ON USE**

This information is intended solely for the use of the city council and management and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss the information included in this letter and any other matters. Thank you for allowing us to serve you.

Baker Tilly Virchm Krause, LCP

Madison, Wisconsin June 23, 2016

#### MANAGEMENT REPRESENTATIONS



CITY OF JANESVILLE Wisconsin's Park Place

June 23, 2016

Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP Ten Terrace Court P.O. Box 7398 Madison, WI 53707-7398

Dear Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP:

We are providing this letter in connection with your audit of the financial statements of the City of Janesville as of December 31, 2015 and for the year then ended for the purpose of expressing opinions as to whether the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Janesville and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows, where applicable, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We confirm that we are responsible for the fair presentation of the previously mentioned financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We are also responsible for adopting sound accounting policies, establishing and maintaining internal control over financial reporting, and preventing and detecting fraud.

Certain representations in this letter are described as being limited to matters that are material. Items are considered material, regardless of size, if they involve an omission or misstatement of accounting information that, in the light of surrounding circumstances, makes it probable that the judgment of a reasonable person relying on the information would be changed or influenced by the omission or misstatement. An omission or misstatement that is monetarily small in amount could be considered material as a result of qualitative factors.

We confirm, to the best of our knowledge and belief, the following representations made to you during your audit.

#### Financial Statements

- 1. We have fulfilled our responsibilities, as set out in the terms of the audit engagement letter.
- 2. The financial statements referred to above are fairly presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and include all properly classified funds and other financial information of the primary government and all component units required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America to be included in the financial reporting entity.
- 3. We acknowledge our responsibility for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.
- 4. We acknowledge our responsibility for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control to prevent and detect fraud.
- 5. Significant assumptions we used in making accounting estimates, if any, are reasonable.



- 6. All events subsequent to the date of the financial statements and for which accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require adjustment or disclosure have been adjusted or disclosed. No other events, including instances of noncompliance, have occurred subsequent to the balance sheet date and through the date of this letter that would require adjustment to or disclosure in the aforementioned financial statements or in the schedule of findings and questioned costs.
- 7. All material transactions have been recorded in the accounting records and are reflected in the financial statements and the schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards.
- 8. We believe the effects of the uncorrected financial statement misstatements listed here are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the basic financial statements as a whole. The city has an unreconciled cash balance of \$210,053 at year end. The adjustment would be to increase cash, increase net positon/fund balance and either increase revenues or decrease expenses. The cause of the error is unknown and therefore the income statement effect cannot be determined at this time. In addition, the effect of prior year uncorrected misstatements related to debt issuance costs is to overstate expenditures/expenses in the general fund and wastewater utility by \$31,165 and \$12,370, respectively. In addition, you have recommended adjusting journal entries, and we are in agreement with those adjustments.
- 9. There are no known or possible litigation, claims, and assessments whose effects should be considered when preparing the financial statements. There are no unasserted claims or assessments that our lawyer has advised us are probable of assertion and must be disclosed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
- 10. Guarantees, whether written or oral, under which the city is contingently liable, if any, have been properly recorded or disclosed.

#### Information Provided

- 11. We have provided you with:
  - a. Access to all information, of which we are aware, that is relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements, such as financial records and related data, documentation, and other matters and all audit or relevant monitoring reports, if any, received from funding sources.
  - b. Additional information that you have requested from us for the purpose of the audit.
  - c. Unrestricted access to persons within the entity from whom you determined it necessary to obtain audit evidence.
  - d. Minutes of the meetings of the City Council or summaries of actions of recent meetings for which minutes have not yet been prepared.
- 12. We have disclosed to you results of our assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud.
- 13. We have no knowledge of any fraud or suspected fraud that affects the entity and involves:
  - a. Management,
  - b. Employees who have significant roles in internal control, or
  - c. Others where the fraud could have a material effect on the financial statements.
- 14. We have no knowledge of any allegations of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the entity received in communications from employees, former employees, regulators, or others.
- 15. We have no knowledge of known instances of noncompliance or suspected noncompliance with provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, or grant agreements, or abuse, whose effects should be considered when preparing financial statements.

16. There are no known related parties or related party relationships and transactions of which we are aware.

Other

- 17. There have been no communications from regulatory agencies concerning noncompliance with, or deficiencies in, financial reporting practices.
- 18. We have a process to track the status of audit findings and recommendations.
- 19. We have provided our views on reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as our planned corrective actions, for our report.
- 20. The city has no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying value or classification of assets, liabilities, or equity.
- 21. We are responsible for compliance with federal, state, and local laws, regulations, and provisions of contracts and grant agreements applicable to us, including tax or debt limits, debt contracts, and IRS arbitrage regulations; and we have identified and disclosed to you all federal, state, and local laws, regulations and provisions of contracts and grant agreements that we believe have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts or other financial data significant to the audit objectives, including legal and contractual provisions for reporting specific activities in separate funds.
- 22. There are no:
  - a. Violations or possible violations of budget ordinances, federal, state, and local laws or regulations (including those pertaining to adopting, approving and amending budgets), provisions of contracts and grant agreements, tax or debt limits, and any related debt covenants whose effects should be considered for disclosure in the financial statements or as a basis for recording a loss contingency, or for reporting on noncompliance, except those already disclosed in the financial statement, if any.
  - b. Other liabilities or gain or loss contingencies that are required to be accrued or disclosed by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
  - c. Nonspendable, restricted, committed, or assigned fund balances that were not properly authorized and approved.
  - d. Rates being charged to customers other than the rates as authorized by the applicable authoritative body.
  - e. Violations of restrictions placed on revenues as a result of bond resolution covenants such as revenue distribution or debt service funding.
- 23. The City of Janesville has satisfactory title to all owned assets, and there are no liens or encumbrances on such assets nor has any asset been pledged as collateral.
- 24. The City of Janesville has complied with all aspects of contractual agreements that would have a material effect on the financial statement in the event of noncompliance.
- 25. The financial statements include all component units. There are no joint ventures with an equity interest or other related organizations requiring disclosure.
- 26. The financial statements properly classify all funds and activities.
- 27. All funds that meet the quantitative criteria in GASB Statement No. 34 and No. 37 for presentation as major are identified and presented as such and all other funds that are presented as major are particularly important to financial statement users.

- 28. Components of net position (net investment in capital assets; restricted; and unrestricted) and components of fund balance (nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned and unassigned) are properly classified and, if applicable, approved.
- 29. The City of Janesville has no derivative financial instruments such as contracts that could be assigned to someone else or net settled, interest rate swaps, collars or caps.
- 30. Provisions for uncollectible receivables, if any, have been properly identified and recorded.
- 31. Expenses have been appropriately classified in or allocated to functions and programs in the statement of activities, and allocations have been made on a reasonable basis.
- 32. Revenues are appropriately classified in the statement of activities within program revenues and general revenues.
- 33. Interfund, internal, and intra-entity activity and balances have been appropriately classified and reported.
- 34. Deposits and investment securities are properly classified as to risk, and investments are properly valued. Collateralization agreements with financial institutions, if any, have been properly disclosed.
- 35. Provision, when material, has been made to reduce excess or obsolete inventories to their estimated net realizable value.
- 36. Capital assets, including infrastructure and intangible assets, are properly capitalized, reported, and, if applicable, depreciated/amortized. Any known impairments have been recorded and disclosed.
- 37. We have complied with the implementation of GASB No. 49 and believe that there is no liability to accrue related to pollution remediation at this time.
- 38. Tax-exempt bonds issued have retained their tax-exempt status.
- 39. We have appropriately disclosed the City of Janesville's policy regarding whether to first apply restricted or unrestricted resources when an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net position are available and have determined that net position were properly recognized under the policy. We have also disclosed our policy regarding which resources (that is, restricted, committed, assigned or unassigned) are considered to be spent first for expenditures for which more than one resource classification is available.
- 40. We acknowledge our responsibility for the required supplementary information (RSI). The RSI is measured and presented within prescribed guidelines and the methods of measurement and presentation have not changed from those used in the prior period. We have disclosed to you any significant assumptions and interpretations underlying the measurement and presentation of the RSI.
- 41. With respect to the supplementary information, (SI):
  - a. We acknowledge our responsibility for presenting the SI in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and we believe the SI, including its form and content, is fairly presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The methods of measurement and presentation of the SI have not changed from those used in the prior period, and we have disclosed to you any significant assumptions or interpretations underlying the measurement and presentation.

- a. If the SI is not presented with the audited financial statements, we will make the audited financial statements readily available to the intended users of the supplementary information no later than the date we issue the supplementary information and the auditor's report thereon.
- 42. We assume responsibility for, and agree with, the findings of specialists in evaluating the OPEB and IBNR liabilities and have adequately considered the qualifications of the specialists in determining the amounts and disclosures used in the financial statements and underlying accounting records. We did not give or cause any instructions to be given to specialists with respect to the values or amounts derived in an attempt to bias their work, and we are not otherwise aware of any matters that have had impact on the independence or objectivity of the specialists.
- 43. We agree with the restatement presented in the current year's financial statements.
- 44. We assume responsibility for, and agree with, the information provided by the Wisconsin Retirement System as audited by the Legislative Audit Bureau relating to the net pension asset/liability and related deferred outflows and deferred inflows and have adequately considered the reasonableness of the amounts and disclosures used in the financial statements and underlying accounting records. We also assume responsibility for the census data that has been reported to the plan.
- 45. With respect to federal and state award programs:
  - a. We are responsible for understanding and complying with and have complied with the requirements of the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996, *OMB's Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance), *State Single Audit Guidelines,* including requirements relating to preparation of the schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards (SEFSA).
  - b. We acknowledge our responsibility for presenting the SEFSA in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Guidance and the State Single Audit Guidelines, and we believe the SEFSA, including its form and content, is fairly presented in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and the State Single Audit Guidelines. The methods of measurement and presentation of the SEFSA have not changed from those used in the prior period and we have disclosed to you any significant assumptions and interpretations underlying the measurement and presentation of the SEFSA.
  - c. If the SEFSA is not presented with the audited financial statements, we will make the audited financial statements readily available to the intended users of the SEFSA no later than the date we issue the SEFSA and the auditors' report thereon.
  - d. We have identified and disclosed to you all of our government programs and related activities subject to the Uniform Guidance and the State Single Audit Guidelines and included in the SEFSA, expenditures made during the audit period for all awards provided by federal agencies in the form of grants, federal cost reimbursement contracts, loans, loan guarantees, property (including donated surplus property), cooperative agreements, interest subsidies, insurance, food commodities, direct appropriations, and other direct assistance.
  - e. We are responsible for understanding and complying with, and have complied with the requirements of laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts and grant agreements related to each of our federal and state programs and have identified and disclosed to you the requirements of laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts and grant agreements that are considered to have a direct and material effect on each major federal and state program.

- f. We are responsible for establishing and maintaining, and have established and maintained, effective internal control over compliance for federal and state programs that provide reasonable assurance that we are administering our federal and state awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts and grant agreements that could have a material effect on our federal and state programs. We believe the internal control system is adequate and is functioning as intended. Also, no changes have been made in the internal control over compliance or other factors to the date of this letter that might significantly affect internal control, including any corrective action taken with regard to control deficiencies reported in the schedule of findings and questioned costs.
- g. We have made available to you all contracts and grant agreements (including amendments, if any) and any other correspondence with federal or state agencies or pass-through entities relevant to the programs and related activities.
- h. We have received no requests from a federal or state agency to audit one or more specific programs as a major program.
- i. We have complied with the direct and material compliance requirements including when applicable, those set forth in the OMB Compliance Supplement and the *State Single Audit Guidelines*, relating to federal and state awards and have identified and disclosed to you all amounts questioned and any known noncompliance with the direct and material compliance requirements of federal and state awards.
- j. We have disclosed any communications from grantors and pass-through entities concerning possible noncompliance with the direct and material compliance requirements, including communications received from the end of the period covered by the compliance audit to the date of the auditors' report.
- k. We have disclosed to you the findings received and related corrective actions taken for previous audits, attestation agreements, and internal or external monitoring that directly relate to the objectives of the compliance audit, if any, including findings received and corrective actions taken from the end of the period covered by the compliance audit to the date of the auditors' report.
- I. Amounts claimed or used for matching were determined in accordance with relevant guidelines in the Uniform Guidance.
- m. We have disclosed to you our interpretation of compliance requirements that may have varying interpretations.
- n. We have made available to you all documentation related to the compliance with the direct and material compliance requirements, including information related to federal and state program financial reports and claims for advances and reimbursements.
- o. We have disclosed to you the nature of any subsequent events that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the end of the reporting period affecting noncompliance during the reporting period.
- p. We are not aware of any instances of noncompliance with direct and material compliance requirements that occurred subsequent to the period covered by the auditors' report.
- q. No changes have been made in internal control over compliance or other factors that might significantly affect internal control, including any corrective action we have taken regarding significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control over compliance, subsequent to the date as of which compliance was audited.
- r. Federal and state program financial reports and claims for advances and reimbursements are supported by the books and records from which the financial statements have been prepared.

- s. The copies of federal and state program financial reports provided you are true copies of the reports submitted, or electronically transmitted, to the respective federal and state agency or pass-through entity, as applicable.
- t. We have charged costs to federal and state awards in accordance with applicable cost principles.
- u. We are responsible for and have accurately prepared the summary schedule of prior audit findings to include all findings required to be included by the Uniform Guidance and the *State Single Audit Guidelines* and we have provided you with all information on the status of the follow-up on prior audit findings by federal and state awarding agencies and pass-through entities, including all management decisions.
- v. We are responsible for and have accurately prepared the auditee section of the Data Collection Form as required by the Uniform Guidance.
- w. We are responsible for preparing and implementing a corrective action plan for each audit finding.
- x. We have disclosed to you all contracts or other agreements with our service organizations, and we have disclosed to you all communications from the service organization relating to noncompliance at the service organizations.
- 46. We are responsible for the electronic submission of required annual financial data to HUD's Real Estate Assessment Center (REAC) and for ensuring that it is complete, accurate, and timely filed, in accordance with our regulatory and contractual obligations to HUD.
- 47. We have disclosed to you all information of which we are aware that may affect the completeness and accuracy of the electronic submission, and we have disclosed to you all communications from regulatory agencies affecting the electronic submission.

Sincerely,

City of Janesville

Signed:

Mark Freitag, City Manager

Signed:

Tim Allen, Finance Director

Signed:

Mandy Price, Accounting Manager