Janesville, Wisconsin

REPORT ON FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS

For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1 – 2
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal and Major State Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133 and the State Single Audit Guidelines	3 – 5
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6 – 7
Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards	8
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards	9
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	10 – 14
Corrective Action Plan	15
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	16 – 17



Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP Ten Terrace Ct, PO Box 7398 Madison, WI 53707-7398 tel 608 249 6622 fax 608 249 8532 bakertilly.com

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditors' Report

To the City Council City of Janesville Janesville, Wisconsin

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Janesville, Wisconsin as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City of Janesville's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated June 11, 2015.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City of Janesville's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Janesville's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Janesville's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control that we consider to be a material weakness.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be a material weakness. This material weakness is item 2014-001.



To the City Council City of Janesville

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of Janesville's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

City of Janesville's Response to Finding

The City of Janesville's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City of Janesville's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Balan Tilly Vuchan Krause, UP
Madison, Wisconsin
June 11, 2015



Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP Ten Terrace Ct, PO Box 7398 Madison, WI 53707-7398 tel 608 249 6622 fax 608 249 8532 bakertilly.com

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL AND MAJOR STATE PROGRAM;
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE;
AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS
REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133 AND THE STATE SINGLE AUDIT GUIDELINES

Independent Auditors' Report

To the City Council City of Janesville Janesville, Wisconsin

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal and Major State Program

We have audited the City of Janesville, Wisconsin's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* and the *State Single Audit Guidelines* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City of Janesville's major federal and major state programs for the year ended December 31, 2014. The City of Janesville's major federal and major state programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal and state programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City of Janesville's major federal and major state programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*; and the *State Single Audit Guidelines*. Those standards, OMB Circular A-133, and the *State Single Audit Guidelines* require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal or major state program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City of Janesville's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal and major state program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City of Janesville's compliance.



Opinion on Each Major Federal and Major State Program

In our opinion, the City of Janesville complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal and major state programs for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 or the *State Single Audit Guidelines* and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2014-002 and 2014-003. Our opinion on each major federal and major state program is not modified with respect to these matters.

City of Janesville's Response to Findings

The City of Janesville's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City of Janesville's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City of Janesville is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City of Janesville's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal or major state program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal and major state program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and the *State Single Audit Guidelines*, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Janesville's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal or state program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal or state program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal or state program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

To the City Council City of Janesville

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance (cont.)

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2014-002, that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

City of Janesville's Response to Finding

The City of Janesville's response to the internal control over compliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City of Janesville's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133 and the *State Single Audit Guidelines*. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133 and the *State Single Audit Guidelines*

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Janesville, Wisconsin as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City of Janesville's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated June 11, 2015, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and the State Single Audit Guidelines and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Madison, Wisconsin September 21, 2015

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

					Rev	enues			
Grantor Agency/ Program Title		Pass- Through Agency	_	(Accrued) Deferred Beginning Balance	Receipts	Accrued (Deferred) Ending Balance	Total Revenues	Expenditures	
FEDERAL PROGRAMS									
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT Community Development Block Grants / Entitlement Grants Community Development Block Grants / Ent. Grants Community Development Block Grants / Ent. Grants - Program income Neighborhood Stabilization Program (HERA) Neighborhood Stabilization Program (HERA) - Program Income	14.218	Direct Direct City of Beloit City of Beloit	\$	(96,785) - - -	\$ 426,692 119,700 - 12,593	\$ 83,949 - 34,856	\$ 413,856 119,700 34,856 12,593	\$ 413,856 119,700 34,856 12,593	
Total				(96,785)	558,985	118,805	581,005	581,005	
Community Development Block Grant/State's Program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii Community Development Block Grants / Emergency Assist. Program Neighborhood Stabilization Program	14.228	WI DOA City of Beloit		(372,367)	582,320 71,620	38,575	209,953 110,195	209,953 110,195	
Total Community Development Block Grant/State's Program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii				(372,367)	653,940	38,575	320,148	320,148	
Home Investment Partnership Program Home Investment Partnerships Program/ Rock Co. Consortium Home Investment Partnerships Program - Program Income	14.239	Direct Direct		(32,582)	115,796 118,690	82,292	165,506 118,690	165,506 118,690	
Total Home Investment Partnerships Program				(32,582)	234,486	82,292	284,196	284,196	
Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants	14.871 14.906	Direct Rock County		(43,008)	2,549,024 241,365	6,677	2,549,024 205,034	2,549,024 205,034	
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				(544,742)	4,237,800	246,349	3,939,407	3,939,407	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Allocation to States Bulletproof Vest Partnership JAG Program Cluster	16.540 16.607	WI DOJ Direct		(21,805)	55,699 -	7,937 5,417	41,831 5,417	41,831 5,417	
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program ARRA - Recovery Act - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance	16.738	Rock County		(476)	33,300	-	32,824	32,824	
Grant (JAG) Program / Grants to States and Territories	16.803	Rock County		12		(12)			
Total JAG Program Cluster				(464)	33,300	(12)	32,824	32,824	
Total U.S. Department of Justice			_	(22,269)	88,999	13,342	80,072	80,072	

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

	_	Revenues							
Grantor Agency/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass- Through Agency		(Accrued) Deferred Beginning Balance	Receipts	Accrued (Deferred) Ending Balance	Total Revenues	Expenditures	
FEDERAL PROGRAMS (cont.)									
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION									
Highway Planning and Construction Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.205 20.507	WI DOT	\$	(58,535) \$	127,886	\$ 31,923	\$ 101,274	\$ 101,274	
Federal Transit Formula Grants (operating 2013) WI-90-4751 ARRA - Federal Grant WI-96-X009-00		Direct WI DOT		(183,383)	880,107 316.174	293,369	1,173,476 132,791	1,173,476 132,791	
Federal Transit State of Good Repair Federal Transit Formula Grants (capital) WI-XX-XXXX		WI DOT WI DOT		(317,070) (1,460,395)	3,581,427 1,460,395	47,761 -	3,312,118	3,312,118	
Federal Transit Formula Grants (capital) WI-90-0091 Total Federal Transit Formula Grants		WI DOT		(55,967) (2,016,815)	6,238,103	55,967 397,097	4,618,385	4,618,385	
Public Transportation Research State and Community Highway Safety	20.514 20.600	WI DOT WI DOT		(2,016,815) (139,350) (10,652)	139,350 53,915	15,092	4,618,385 - 58,355	4,618,385 - 58,355	
Total U.S. Department of Transportation	20.000	20.	_	(2,225,352)	6,559,254	444,112	4,778,014	4,778,014	
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY									
Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup	66.818	Direct	_	(18,808)	152,766	142,907	276,865	276,865	
Total Environmental Protection Agency			_	(18,808)	152,766	142,907	276,865	276,865	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES									
State Grants for Protection and Advocacy Services	93.276	Direct		(15,441)	123,302	35,486	143,347	143,347	
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services				(15,441)	123,302	35,486	143,347	143,347	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY									
Assistance to Firefighters Grants	97.044	Direct			85,560		85,560	85,560	
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security				-	85,560		85,560	85,560	
TOTAL FEDERAL PROGRAMS			\$	(2,826,612)	11,247,681	\$ 882,196	\$ 9,303,265	\$ 9,303,265	

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARDS For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

		Revenues										
Grantor Agency/ Program Title	State ID Number	(Accrued) Deferred Beginning Balance			Receipts		Accrued (Deferred) Ending Balance		Total Revenues		Expenditures	
STATE PROGRAMS												
WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES												
Forest Fire Protection Grant	370.545	\$	-	\$	23,750	\$	-	\$	23,750	\$	23,750	
Urban Forestry Grant	370.572		(7,918)		25,000		-		17,082		17,082	
Recycling Grants to Responsible Units	370.670		-		210,082		-		210,082		210,082	
Recycling Consolidation Grant	370.673		<u>-</u>		16,563	_	<u>-</u>		16,563		16,563	
Total Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources			(7,918)	_	275,395	_		_	267,477	_	267,477	
WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION												
Transit Operating Aids												
2012 Operating Aids	395.104		(77,956)		77,956		-		-		-	
2013 Operating Aids	395.104		(38,598)		-		38,598		-		-	
2014 Operating Aids	395.104		(39,004)		835,144		88,460		884,600		884,600	
Paratransit Aids Through 85.205	395.104		<u>-</u>		33,818	_	<u>-</u>		33,818		33,818	
Total Transit Operating Aids			(155,558)		946,918		127,058		918,418		918,418	
Transportation Economic Assistance (TEA) Grant												
Transportation Economic Assistance (TEA) Grant - Rail	395.510		(182,223)		-		316,332		134,109		134,109	
Transportation Economic Assistance (TEA) Grant - Road	395.510		(38,891)			_	205,980		167,089		167,089	
Total Transportation Economic Assistance (TEA) Grant			(221,114)				522,312		301,198		301,198	
Total Wisconsin Department of Transportation		_	(376,672)		946,918	_	649,370	_	1,219,616	_	1,219,616	
WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES												
Emergency Medical Service Cost Reimbursement	435.162		-		12,985		-		12,985		12,985	
Total Wisconsin Department of Health Services					12,985	_	-		12,985		12,985	
TOTAL STATE PROGRAMS		\$	(384,590)	\$	1,235,298	\$	649,370	\$	1,500,078	\$	1,500,078	

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards (the "schedule") includes the federal and state grant activity of the City of Janesville under programs of the federal and state government for the year ended December 31, 2014. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations* and the *State Single Audit Guidelines*. Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City of Janesville, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position or cash flows of the City of Janesville.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the schedule are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Negative amounts shown on the schedule represent adjustments or credits made in the normal course of business to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years. Pass-through entity identifying numbers are presented where available.

The underlying accounting records for some grant programs are maintained on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred. The accounting records for other grant programs are maintained on the accrual basis; i.e., when the revenue has been earned and the liability is incurred.

NOTE 3 - PASS-THROUGH GRANTORS

Federal funds have been passed through the following grantors:

WI DOJ - Wisconsin Department of Justice

WI DOA - Wisconsin Department of Administration

WI DOT - Wisconsin Department of Transportation

City of Beloit

Rock County

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Type of auditors' report issued: Unmodified Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? __X yes ____ no ____ yes X none reported Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Noncompliance material to financial statements ___ yes noted? X no FEDERAL OR STATE AWARDS Internal control over major programs: yes X no > Material weakness(es) identified? X__ yes none reported > Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133? X yes no Federal Programs State Programs Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? ____ yes <u>X</u> no ____ yes <u>X</u> no Identification of major federal programs: CFDA Numbers Name of Federal Program or Cluster 14.218 Community Development Block Grant / Entitlement Grants 14.239 Home Investment Partnership Program 20.507 Federal Transit Formula Grants

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS (cont.)

FEDERAL OR STATE AWARDS (cont.)

	 Federal		State	
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A				
and type B programs:	\$ 300,000	\$	100,000	

Identification of major state program:

State Numbers	Name of State Program
370.670	Recycling Grants to Responsible Units
395.104	Transit Operating Aids

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

FINDING 2014-001: CONTROL ENVIRONMENT

Criteria: Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) 115 requires auditors to communicate circumstances that were evaluated to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the city's structure of internal control.

Condition: During the financial audit, audit procedures and inquiries were performed to evaluate the effectiveness of controls over various transaction cycles. As a result of these procedures, we noted there was no independent second party review of year-end financial statements and year-end conversion iournal entries. During the single audit, it was also determined that the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards was not reviewed by a responsible person independent from the preparer.

Cause: Due to staffing and financial limitations, the city is not able to perform an independent review of the year-end financial statements, conversion entries and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards prior to submission to the auditors.

Effect: Year-end financial statements and the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards prepared by the city may contain material misstatements.

Recommendation: We recommend that year-end financial statements, year-end conversion journal entries, and the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards be reviewed by a second individual to ensure appropriateness and accuracy.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS (cont.)

FINDING 2014-001: CONTROL ENVIRONMENT (cont.)

Management Response: The city prepares high quality financial statements, conversion entries, and footnote disclosures for the auditors to review. We agree with the assessment that the adjusting journal entries were not always reviewed by someone in the city other than the preparer. However, because of the city's size, management does not believe it is cost effective to hire the additional staff needed with the expertise to review all aspects of financial reporting, and relies on the auditor for some year-end financial statement and conversion entry review. Therefore, we anticipate that the material weakness in financial reporting review will continue to be reported in future years. Due to staff turnover, we did not have a separate individual from the preparer review the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards before giving the draft to the auditors. For this year, we relied on the auditors to be that review. In the future, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards will be reviewed by a second individual to ensure appropriateness and accuracy.

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARDS AND STATE AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

FINDING 2014-002: 20.507 – FEDERAL TRANSIT FORMULA GRANTS (INCLUDES ARRA)
FEDERAL AWARD NUMBER: WI-90-4751
FEDERAL GRANTOR: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Criteria: According to OMB Circular A-87 Attachment B, Section 8h, when an employee works on more than one cost activity, wages charged to Federal grants must be based on actual costs and must be an after the fact distribution. Budgeted allocations when timesheets are not completed may be used for interim accounting. However, actual costs must be reviewed on at least a quarterly basis and be based on monthly activity reports.

Condition/Context: It was noted during payroll testing which covered a total of 13 different employees that time for one administrative employee who did not complete a timecard was not supported by monthly activity reports or another acceptable method.

Cause: The city did not have a process to review and record actual wages in comparison to wages charged to the program.

Effect: The amounts charged to the Federal grants may not reflect actual distribution of costs.

Questioned Costs: Questioned costs cannot be determined as the city is not currently tracking actual administrative transit labor costs.

Recommendation: We recommend the city implement a procedure to document allocated time in accordance with OMB Circular A-87 and the Uniform Guidance for Federal Awards for grants awarded after December 26, 2014.

Management Response: We became aware of this issue during the 2013 single audit in mid-2014. Starting then, administrative employees have kept time sheets so that the budget-to-actual true-up can be done. During 2014, one employee left the organization and we did not get his time sheets from him as he left. For 2015, this is no longer an issue as the individuals involved are tracking their time.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARDS AND STATE AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (cont.)

FINDING 2014-003: 14.218 – COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS/ENTITLEMENT GRANTS

FEDERAL AWARD NUMBER: B14MC550014

FEDERAL GRANTOR: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Criteria: According to OMB Circular A-110 Financial reporting, 2 CFR Section 215.52, grantees must submit the quarterly SF-425 financial reports by the 30th day following the end of each calendar quarter, and a final report is due 90 days following the end of the award period.

Condition/Context: It was noted during testing of the program that the city did not submit the quarterly and final SF-425 reports to HUD on a timely basis.

Cause: In a previous year, the city obtained communications from the grantor indicating that the forms were not required to be submitted by the city, however, there was no known communications reinstating the requirement. Upon further inquiry with the grantor, it was determined that the forms should be submitted by the city on a retroactive basis for 2014 and 2015.

Effect: The grantor did not receive the financial information intended to be obtained through this reporting method on a timely basis.

Recommendation: We recommend the city implement a procedure to complete, review, and submit the SF-425 reports to the grantor on a timely basis.

Management Response: The city has retroactively submitted the final SF-425 for 2014, retroactively submitted the SF-425 reports for the first half of 2015, and implemented a procedure to complete, review and submit the SF-425 reports to the grantor on a timely basis.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

SECTIO	ON IV - OTHER ISSUES	
1.	Does the auditor's report or the notes to the financial statements include disclosure with regard to substantial doubt as to the auditee's ability to continue as a going concern?	yes <u>X</u> no
2.	Does the audit report show audit issues (i.e., material non-compliance, non-material non-compliance, questioned costs, material weakness, significant deficiency, management letter comment, excess revenue or excess reserve) related to grants/contracts with funding agencies that require audits to be in accordance with the State Single Audit Guidelines: Department of Transportation Department of Health Services Department of Administration Department of Justice	yesX _ no
3.	Was a Management Letter or other documen conveying audit comments issued as a result of this audit?	
4.	Name and signature of partner	Heather S. Acker, CPA, Partner
5.	Date of report	September 21, 2015

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

FINDING 2014-001: INTERNAL ACCOUNTING CONTROLS

See "management response" for Finding 2014-001 in Section II – Financial Statement Findings Required to be Reported in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

FINDING 2014-002: 20.507 - FEDERAL TRANSIT FORMULA GRANTS

See "management response" for Finding 2014-002 in Section III – Federal Awards and State Awards Findings and Questioned Costs.

FINDING 2014-003: 14.218 - COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS

See "management response" for Finding 2014-003 in Section III – Federal Awards and State Awards Findings and Questioned Costs.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

FINDING 2013-001: CONTROL ENVIRONMENT

Criteria: Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) 115 requires auditors to communicate circumstances that were evaluated to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the city's structure of internal control.

Condition/Context: During the financial audit, audit procedures and inquiries were performed to evaluate the effectiveness of controls over various transaction cycles. As a result of these procedures, we noted there was no independent second party review of year-end financial statements and year-end conversion journal entries.

Cause: Due to staffing and financial limitations, the city is not able to perform an independent review of the year-end financial statements and conversion entries prior to submission to the auditors.

Effect: Year-end financial statements prepared by the city may contain material misstatements and improper year-end conversion journal entries could be recorded.

Recommendation: We recommend that year-end financial statements and year-end conversion journal entries be reviewed by a second individual to ensure appropriateness and accuracy.

Management Status: The city prepares high quality financial statements, conversion entries, and footnote disclosures for the auditors to review. However, because of the city's size, management does not believe it is cost effective to hire the additional staff needed with the expertise to review all aspects of financial reporting, and relies on the auditor for some year-end financial statement and conversion entry review. Therefore, we anticipate that the material weakness in financial reporting review will likely continue to be reported in future years.

FINDING 2013-002: 14.218 – COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS/ENTITLEMENT GRANTS FEDERAL GRANTOR: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Criteria: According to the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) and the terms of the grant agreements, the city is required to report any first-tier subawards to the federal government. Any applicable original obligations and amendments must be reported no later than the last day of the following month in which the subaward was made or modified.

Condition/Context: The city did not report to the federal government payments made to the subawardees within the required timeframes for the federal program and did not have the proper controls in place to ensure the appropriate reports were filed in the appropriate timeframe.

Cause: The city initially thought the reports did not need to be submitted. After further investigation, it was determined that the reports should have been submitted.

Effect: The city is not in compliance with the reporting guidelines required by FFATA and the related grant agreements.

Questioned Costs: None noted.

Recommendation: We recommend that the city ensure that reporting under FFATA is submitted in a timely manner.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

FINDING 2013-002: 14.218 - COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS/ENTITLEMENT GRANTS

FEDERAL GRANTOR: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

(cont.)

Management Status: The City retroactively submitted reports for 2013, put controls in place to ensure that 2014 and future reports are submitted by the due date, and developed a follow-up system in the event that the HUD reporting system or grant is unavailable through the submittal timeframe. The City timely reported first-tier subawards to the federal government throughout 2014 as required by the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act and the terms of the grant agreements.

FINDING 2013-003: 20.507 – FEDERAL TRANSIT FORMULA GRANTS
FEDERAL AWARD NUMBER: WI-90-4751

FEDERAL GRANTOR: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Criteria: According to OMB Circular A-87 Attachment B, Section 8h, when an employee works on more than one cost activity, wages charged to Federal grants must be based on actual costs and must be an after the fact distribution. Budgeted allocations when timesheets are not completed may be used for interim accounting. However, actual costs must be reviewed on at least a quarterly basis and be based on monthly activity reports. An entity must adjust budgeted costs charged to the Federal grant to actual if the difference between the two amounts exceeds 10%.

Condition/Context: It was noted during testing that time for administrative employees who do not complete a timecard was not supported by monthly activity reports.

Cause: The city did not have a process to review actual wages in comparison to wages charged to the program.

Effect: The amounts charged to the Federal grants may not reflect actual distribution of costs.

Questioned Costs: Questioned costs cannot be determined as the city is not currently tracking actual administrative transit labor costs.

Recommendation: We recommend the city implement a procedure to document allocated time in accordance with OMB Circular A-87.

Management Status: We became aware of this issue during the 2013 single audit in mid-2014. Starting then, administrative employees have kept time sheets so that the budget-to-actual true-up can be done. During 2014, one employee left the organization and we did not get his time sheets from him as he left. For 2015, this is no longer an issue as the individuals involved are tracking their time.